

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/029,060 03/10/93 YAMAGUCHI

Y 501.23549CC4

EXAMINER
POPEK, J

25M1/1004

ANTONELLI, TERRY & WANDS
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2511

DATE MAILED: 10/04/93

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
2. ☒ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
3. ☐ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
4. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. ☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
6. ☐ _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 14 to 17 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 14 to 17 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☒ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received ☒ been filed in parent application, serial no. 874,106; filed on 06/13/1986.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 029,060

-2-


Art Unit 2511


This Action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed on March 10, 1993.

Claims 14 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is incomplete in that there is no recitation in the claim on how the four external terminals are coupled with the control means to form a circuit in a RAM. Further, lines 16 to 21 are functional in that no means to detect when all the signals are at the required states has been recited in the claim. Claim 14 appears to be nothing more than a functional recitation of signal states with no structure recited to perform or respond to the required states. Claim 15 is confusing since lines 10 and 11 of claim 14 recite that the fourth terminal is used to receive a signal for designating an operational mode. Does the fourth terminal respond to both address and operational mode signals?

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph Popek at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

 Popek/tj
September 30, 1993


JOSEPH A. POPEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500